UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,844	12/15/2004	Amnon Sintov	030231-0155	9004
	7590 10/19/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		AHMED, HASAN SYED		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,844	SINTOV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HASAN S. AHMED	1615		

	HASAN S. AHMED	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	ation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 October 2010</u> FAILS TO PLA			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but pr application, applicant must timely file one of the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc periods:	or to or on the same day as filing a Notice following replies: (1) an amendment, affi ice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in complia	e of Appeal. To avoid abal idavit, or other evidence, v ince with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the	mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing of no event, however, will the statutory period for re Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF	eply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the more box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN PEP 706.07(f).	nailing date of the final rejection I THE FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CINOTICE OF APPEAL	period of extension and the corresponding amo date of the shortened statutory period for reply Office later than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The appropri originally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A br filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), c Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus AMENDMENTS	r any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final	rejection, but prior to the date of filing a b	orief, will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see I	further consideration and/or search (see		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	ation in better form for appeal by material	y reducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without ca NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		/ rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 3	7 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Nor	n-Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following r			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) v non-allowable claim(s).		•	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as followed: Claim(s) objected to:	cted is provided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(</li> </ol>	of good and sufficient reasons why the aff		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the da entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is</li> </ol>	failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a necessary and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fail d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	explanation of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been con	sidered but does NOT place the applicati	on in condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Stat</i> 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	ement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Humera N. Sheikh Primary Examiner, <i>A</i>		

Application No. 10/517,844

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants argue that Esposito does not teach microemulsions, however, as explained in the Final rejection, examiner respectfully disagrees. As explained in the Final rejection (see pages 5-6), the formulation disclosed by Esposito matches the definition of "microemulsion" provided by Esposito. As such, examiner interprets the formulation disclosed by Esposito as a microemulsion.